<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>**https://doi.org/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022** Advance access publication 4 June 2024 **Regular Research Article**

## Regular Research Article

# **Subanesthetic Ketamine Suppresses Locus Coeruleus–Mediated Alertness Effects: A 7T fMRI Study**

Thomas Liebe [,](https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5942-4405) Lena Vera Danyeli, Zümrüt Duygu Sen, Meng Li, Jörn Kaufmann, Martin Walter

[Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Jena, Jena, Germany \(Drs Liebe, Danyeli, Sen, Li,](#page-0-0) Kaufmann and Walter); University Clinic for Dermatology, Magdeburg, Germany (Dr Liebe); Department of Neurology, University of Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany (Dr Kaufmann); [Department of Psychiatry](#page-0-1)  [and Psychotherapy, University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany \(Drs Danyeli, Sen, Li, and Walter\); Center for Intervention and Research on Adaptive and Maladaptive](#page-0-1)  [Brain Circuits Underlying Mental Health \(C-I-R-C\), Halle-Jena-Magdeburg, Germany \(Drs Danyeli, Sen, Li, and Walter\);](#page-0-1) [German Center for Mental Health \(DZPG\),](#page-0-2)  [Partner site Halle-Jena-Magdeburg, Germany \(Drs Danyeli and Walter\).](#page-0-2)

Correspondence: Martin Walter, MD, PhD, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Jena, Jena, D-07743, Germany [\(martin.walter@med.uni-jena.de\)](mailto:martin.walter@med.uni-jena.de?subject=).

#### Abstract

Background: The NMDA antagonist S-ketamine is gaining increasing use as a rapid-acting antidepressant, although its exact mechanisms of action are still unknown. In this study, we investigated ketamine in respect to its properties toward central noradrenergic mechanisms and how they infuence alertness behavior.

Methods: We investigated the infuence of S-ketamine on the locus coeruleus (LC) brain network in a placebo-controlled, cross-over, 7T functional, pharmacological MRI study in 35 healthy male participants (25.1±4.2 years) in conjunction with the attention network task to measure LC-related alertness behavioral changes.

Results: We could show that acute disruption of the LC alertness network to the thalamus by ketamine is related to a behavioral alertness reduction.

Conclusion: The results shed new light on the neural correlates of ketamine beyond the glutamatergic system and underpin a new concept of how it may unfold its antidepressant effects.

Keywords: Ketamine, locus coeruleus, LC functional connectivity, alertness, ultra-high feld MRI

#### **Signifcance Statement**

As a rapid-acting antidepressant, the NMDA antagonist S-ketamine is becoming increasingly used in psychiatric therapy, but its precise modes of action are still unclear. In this placebo-controlled functional MRI study, we could show that ketamine affects a specifc brain network associated with noradrenaline, which is known to play a prominent role in depression, and that those effects infuence noradrenaline-related behavior. Specifcally, we could show that a brainstem nucleus, the locus coeruleus, which regulates most noradrenaline spillover in the brain, changes its functional connectivity in our healthy young study population during ketamine administration. This drug-induced change of central noradrenergic regulation was related to an alertness reduction in the same participants in a behavioral attention task. Our results show how ketamine infuences central noradrenergic mechanisms and support a novel theory regarding how it might reveal its antidepressant effects.

## INTRODUCTION

Ketamine is a commonly used anesthetic drug in emergency medicine and surgery. Recently, subanesthetic ketamine was discovered to act as a rapid-acting antidepressant, and numerous studies show promising effects in the treatment of major depressive disorder (MDD), which are corroborated by meta-analyses ( [Berman et](#page-7-0) al., 2000a; [Zarate et](#page-10-0) al., 2006; [Caddy et](#page-7-1) al., 2014; [Fond](#page-8-0) [and Boyer, 2014;](#page-8-0) [McGirr et](#page-8-1) al., 2015; Wan et [al., 2015;](#page-9-0) [Bahji et](#page-7-2) al., <span id="page-0-15"></span><span id="page-0-14"></span><span id="page-0-13"></span><span id="page-0-12"></span><span id="page-0-11"></span><span id="page-0-10"></span><span id="page-0-9"></span><span id="page-0-7"></span><span id="page-0-6"></span><span id="page-0-5"></span><span id="page-0-3"></span>[2020\)](#page-7-2). Based on these fndings, ketamine is used as a therapeutic option in treatment-resistant depression ([Nugent et](#page-9-1) al., 2020; Park et [al., 2020;](#page-9-2) [Zarate, 2020\)](#page-10-1). Frequently, it is infused i.v. at a low dose, such as 0.5 mg/kg over 40 minutes [\(Schwartz et](#page-9-3) al., [2016\)](#page-9-3). Furthermore, ketamine received FDA approval as a nasal spray for the treatment of MDD in 2019 ([FDA, 2019](#page-8-2)). The different pharmacological properties of ketamine [\(Short et](#page-9-4) al., [2018\)](#page-9-4), including the antidepressant effect ([Berman et al., 2000;](#page-7-0)

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of CINP.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.

<span id="page-0-8"></span><span id="page-0-4"></span>**Received for publication:** February 14, 2024. **Accepted:** June 3, 2024. **Editorial decision:** April 18, 2024.

<span id="page-1-22"></span><span id="page-1-16"></span><span id="page-1-15"></span><span id="page-1-11"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>[Murrough et al., 2013\)](#page-8-3), are likely to arise from its infuence on multiple receptor types: although the inhibition of the NMDA receptor is widely assumed as the primary mechanism of antidepressant action ([Krystal et](#page-8-4) al., 1994; [Boyer, 1998](#page-7-3); [Zanos et](#page-10-2) al., [2016](#page-10-2)), other receptors may also play an important role in the action of the drug ([Zanos et](#page-10-2) al., 2016). Based on the known effects of ketamine on the autonomous nervous system and its inhibitory component on the norepinephrine transporter (NET) [\(Baraka et](#page-7-4) al., 1973; [Miletich et](#page-8-5) al., 1973; [Doenicke et](#page-7-5) al., [1992](#page-7-5); Hara et [al., 1998](#page-8-6), [2002](#page-8-7); [Nishimura et](#page-9-5) al., 1998; [Zhao and](#page-10-3)  [Sun, 2008\)](#page-10-3), we previously assessed both ketamine cardiovascular side effects and their infuence on resting-state functional connectivity ([Biswal et](#page-7-6) al., 1995; [Van Den Heuvel and Pol, 2010](#page-9-6)) brain networks by focusing on effects related to the sympathetic nervous system (Liebe et [al., 2017,](#page-8-8) [2018](#page-8-9)). We found that the cardiovascular adverse effects of ketamine differ depending on the NET genotype (Liebe et [al., 2017](#page-8-8)). Moreover, we uncovered a NET-dependent infuence of ketamine on the locus coeruleus (LC)—a brainstem nucleus on which we focused due to its regulatory role on the sympathetic nervous system and its high NET density—in 7T resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs fMRI) [\(Liebe et al., 2018](#page-8-9)). More specifcally, we found that ketamine rapidly disrupts the functional connectivity (fc) from the LC to the thalamus in the resting state following drug infusion. A more complex relationship of noradrenergic and glutamatergic antidepressant mechanisms is also refected in the pathophysiological situation in MDD. While subunits of the NMDAR are cortically decreased, subcortical NR2B subunits were found to be increased, including amygdala and locus coeruleus. Subcortical NMDAR hyperactivity was thus discussed as a target for diminishing glutamatergic inputs and subsequently modifying ascending brain stem innervation [\(Chandley et](#page-7-7) al., [2014](#page-7-7); [Hillhouse and Porter, 2015;](#page-8-10) Liu et [al., 2017](#page-8-11)). We proposed the relevance of those fndings with respect to the functional importance of the sympathetic key region LC in general brain function due to its regulation of norepinephrine (NE) spillover, its anatomical properties in terms of effective connections, and the involvement of the region in antidepressant mechanisms ([Liebe et al., 2018](#page-8-9)): the LC has widespread connections to the whole brain, including the thalamus, cerebellum, prefrontal cortex, and limbic structures [\(Samuels and Szabadi, 2008a,](#page-9-7) [2008b\)](#page-9-8). Functionally, the LC is involved in the control of autonomic functions, arousal, and, within the concepts of orienting, confict, and alertness [\(Corbetta and Shulman, 2002](#page-7-8); [Fan et](#page-8-12) al., [2005](#page-8-12), [2009;](#page-8-13) [Corbetta et](#page-7-9) al., 2008; [Petersen and Posner, 2012\)](#page-9-9), in attention regulation. Specifcally, the LC-thalamic connectivity was attributed to the alertness properties of LC function ([Périn](#page-9-10)  et [al., 2010](#page-9-10); [Petersen and Posner, 2012;](#page-9-9) [Murphy et](#page-8-14) al., 2014). The alerting effect forms a key element in sensory signal perception by switching from rest to a preparation of reaction to behaviorally relevant incoming information [\(Petersen and Posner,](#page-9-9)  [2012](#page-9-9)). This specifc mechanism can be uncovered in behavioral tasks by presenting warning signals before an action is required, which decreases the reaction times of the subject due to increasing awareness of the requested operation ([Fan et al., 2002,](#page-8-15) [2005;](#page-8-12) Xuan et [al., 2016](#page-9-11)). Interestingly, ketamine administration was found to have a rapid impact on attention functions in task studies [\(Oranje et](#page-9-12) al., 2000; [Umbricht et](#page-9-13) al., 2000; [Musso et](#page-8-16) al., [2011](#page-8-16); [Nikiforuk and Popik, 2014](#page-9-14)), but these effects were assigned to neither alertness nor the LC NE system so far. Since in animal models the LC was also shown to be involved in the regulation of several depression- and alertness-related functions like

<span id="page-1-36"></span><span id="page-1-32"></span><span id="page-1-14"></span><span id="page-1-7"></span><span id="page-1-3"></span>stress vulnerability and social defeat ([Curtis et](#page-7-10) al., 2012; [Isingrini](#page-8-17) et [al., 2016](#page-8-17); Szot et [al., 2016](#page-9-15)), we thought to investigate ketamine effects on LC connectivity also regarding the drug's antidepressant properties. Furthermore, next to affective appraisal, biased and impaired attention is another key diagnostic feature of MDD whose neural correlates, however, have been somewhat less investigated than those related to positive and negative affect.

<span id="page-1-37"></span><span id="page-1-34"></span><span id="page-1-25"></span><span id="page-1-20"></span><span id="page-1-18"></span><span id="page-1-17"></span><span id="page-1-12"></span><span id="page-1-8"></span>Based on these considerations in conjunction with our former physiological, genetic, and fMRI results, we proposed a ketamine-induced suppression of the alerting effect by disruption of LC noradrenergic control over the thalamus, which could induce reduced acute response sensitivity to sensory stimuli with respect to alertness (Liebe et [al., 2017,](#page-8-8) [2018](#page-8-9), [2020\)](#page-8-18). In the following study, we aimed to examine this proposed hypothesis by investigating the effects of ketamine on alertness in the attention network task ([Fan et al., 2002,](#page-8-15) [2005;](#page-8-12) Xuan et [al., 2016\)](#page-9-11). On the basis of our constrained hypothesis of LC networks, we aimed to replicate the results of our previous ketamine study in rs fMRI and extend our former view by examining possible relations of alertness reduction effects on the LC functional brain network.

## **METHODS**

#### **Study Design and Participants**

<span id="page-1-19"></span><span id="page-1-13"></span><span id="page-1-10"></span><span id="page-1-4"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>The study was conducted with a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, cross-over design applying a single subanesthetic S-ketamine infusion or placebo in 35 healthy male participants (mean age $\pm$  SD=25.1 $\pm$ 4.2 years; for participant characteristics, see also [supplementary Table 1](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data)). The main inclusion criteria were no current or lifetime major psychiatric disorder, including substance or alcohol dependence or abuse, according to DSM-IV [\(American Psychiatric Association, 2005](#page-7-11)) as assessed by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV ([First and Gibbon, 2004](#page-8-19)); no family history of psychiatric disorders as assessed by a demographic questionnaire; no neurological or physical constraints or severe illnesses as evaluated by a study physician during screening; right-handedness; and the absence of MRI contraindications. All participants gave informed written consent. The study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of the Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg and was performed in accordance with the recommendations made in the Declaration of Helsinki and local legal requirements.

#### <span id="page-1-30"></span><span id="page-1-29"></span><span id="page-1-6"></span>**Experimental Procedure**

<span id="page-1-28"></span><span id="page-1-27"></span><span id="page-1-21"></span><span id="page-1-9"></span><span id="page-1-5"></span>The experimental procedure was designed as 2 consecutive days per treatment arm, including MR scanning before infusion (baseline measures), during infusion (immediate effects), and 24 hours after infusion. The interval between the 2 treatment arms was 3 weeks on average. In a randomized order, participants received a single i.v. infusion (Injectomat MC Agilia; Fresenius Kabi GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany) as a bolus of 0.11 mg/kg body weight S-ketamine or 0.9% saline followed by a maintenance dose of 0.22 mg/kg body weight S-ketamine or 0.9 % saline administered over a period of 40 minutes. Because of the administration of S-ketamine, a reduced dosage compared with the standard infusion regime of racemic ketamine [\(Sinner and Graf, 2008](#page-9-16)) was used.

<span id="page-1-35"></span><span id="page-1-33"></span><span id="page-1-31"></span><span id="page-1-26"></span><span id="page-1-23"></span>For a minimum of 4 hours after infusion, the participants were observed to ensure medical safety.

<span id="page-1-24"></span>For the investigation of alertness effects, the attention network task (ANT; Fan et [al., 2005\)](#page-8-12) was conducted 4 hours after the infusion and 24 hours after infusion. A training session was performed by all participants prior to the experimental fMRI procedure.

#### **MRI Image Acquisition**

Image acquisition was performed using a Siemens MAGNETOM 7 T MRI scanner with syngo MR E11 software and a 32-channel head coil with the following parameters for the anatomical images: 3D-MPRAGE sequence, echo time (TE)=2.54 milliseconds, repetition time (TR)=1700 milliseconds, inversion time (T1)=1050 milliseconds, fip angle=5°, bandwidth=160 Hz/pixel, acquisition  $volume = 256 \times 256 \times 176 \text{ mm}^3$ , isometric voxel size=1.0 mm<sup>3</sup>, and scan duration=3 minutes 39 seconds. Blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signals were acquired using a multi-band accelerated T2\*-weighted echo-planar imaging sequence.

We acquired eyes-closed rs fMRI data with the following parameters: 60 axial slices parallel to the anterior–posterior commissure plane covering the whole brain acquired in an interleaved order, acquisition volume=212×212×132 mm3, slice thickness=2.0 mm, leading to a high resolution of 2.0 mm isotropic voxels and no gap, TR=1500 milliseconds, TE=25.0 milliseconds, fip angle=70°, 400 volumes in total, scan duration=10:23 minutes. Visual inspection of raw data for each participant and each time point was performed.

<span id="page-2-6"></span>To optimally locate LC individually, a neuromelanin-sensitive T1 MRI sequence using a Siemens MAGNETOM Prisma 3 T MRI scanner with syngo MR E11 software and a 64-channel head coil was applied in 25 participants according to the original work of Sasaki et al. [\(Sasaki et](#page-9-17) al., 2006), with the following imaging parameters: 14 axial slices, acquisition volume=192  $\times$  192  $\times$  42 mm<sup>3</sup>, slice thickness=2.50 mm, inter-slice gap 0.5 mm, leading to an effective slice thickness of 3 mm, TR=634.0 milliseconds, TE=10.0 milliseconds, bandwidth=165 Hz/Pixel, fip angle=80°, scan duration=10 minutes and 50 seconds.

#### *Data Preprocessing*

<span id="page-2-10"></span><span id="page-2-7"></span>Echo-planar imaging series preprocessing was performed with CONN ([Whitfeld-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012](#page-9-18)), and statistical analysis of extracted MRI beta-values and behavioral scores was performed with SPSS [\(Statistics, 2011\)](#page-9-19). We previously validated our MNI space mask–based preprocessing pipeline with respect to the exact individual localization of the LC [\(Liebe et](#page-8-18) al., [2020\)](#page-8-18). The SPM12 ([https://www.fl.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/\)](https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) based preprocessing steps included simultaneous realignment, unwarping, and feld map correction of functional data, slice time correction (with slice timings of the multi-band sequence provided to SPM), ART-based outlier detection (conservative settings with nuisance regression of time points exceeding the 95th percentile of movement compared with a normative sample, [www.nitrc.](http://www.nitrc.org/projects/artifact detect/) [org/projects/artifact detect/\)](http://www.nitrc.org/projects/artifact detect/), structural and functional direct segmentation and normalization to MNI space (structural target resolution 1 mm, functional target resolution 2 mm), and smoothing with a 4-mm kernel. Denoising included regression of white matter, CSF, and physiological noise (CompCor; [Behzadi](#page-7-12) et [al., 2007\)](#page-7-12), removal of linear/quadratic trends, regression of subject motion (3-rotation and 3-translation parameters as well as their frst-order temporal derivatives), removal of motion outliers (scrubbing), and band-pass fltering at 0.008–0.09 Hz.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>As a secondary analysis, we investigated the LC fc based on subject-specifc segmentation of the individual LC location in every subject, with CONN-based preprocessing steps as previously described (Liebe et [al., 2020](#page-8-18)). In short, a radiologist experienced in neuroradiology manually segmented the location of the LC in the individual brainstems based on the neuromelanin contrast using FSLview (<https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/>). The bilateral, participant-specifc LC masks were then registered to the

anatomical and functional brain images using FSL FLIRT and used for extracting the BOLD signal from the individual location of the LC ([supplementary Figure 1\)](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data).

<span id="page-2-4"></span>For seed-based correlation, Fisher-transformed bivariate correlation coeffcients of the extracted averaged time series within a validated MNI space LC mask ([Keren et](#page-8-20) al., 2015) (or of individual segmented LC masks in 25 participants) and time series of MNI space whole-brain voxels were calculated. For region of interest (ROI)-to-ROI fc analysis, a matrix of Fisher-transformed bivariate correlation coeffcients between the MNI LC masks' time series and MNI space whole-brain ROIs were calculated. For obtaining target ROIs, the default atlas implemented in CONN covering the whole brain was used (based on the FSL Havard-Oxford Atlas ([https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/\)](https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/) and the cerebellar parcellation from the AAL Atlas [\(Tzourio-Mazoyer et](#page-9-20) al., 2002). The complete list of ROIs included in the analysis is provided in [sup](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data)[plementary Table 2](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data).

<span id="page-2-9"></span>The cluster defning threshold was determined as *P<*.001 at voxel level and only clusters below a threshold of FDR *P<*.05 were reported in the seed-to-voxel analysis. In the ROI-to-ROI analysis, the connection-level threshold was set to *P<*.05 and seed-level threshold to an FDR *P<*.05, as suggested by the standard CONN settings.

#### *ANT Task*

<span id="page-2-8"></span><span id="page-2-5"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>The ANT was initially published in 2002 [\(Fan et al., 2002\)](#page-8-15), applied in the fMRI environment (Fan et [al., 2005](#page-8-12)), and slightly modifed with an extended focus on the alerting effect as applied here ([Rueda et](#page-9-21) al., 2004; Togo et [al., 2015](#page-9-22)). In short, the ANT could be seen as a modifed version of the classical Erikson fanker task ([Eriksen and Eriksen, 1974\)](#page-8-21). Participants are requested to respond immediately to a target that can be accompanied by distraction fankers (confict effect) and that is sometimes cued for the stimulus onset (spatial cue for an orienting and nonspatial cue for an alertness effect). More detailed information about stimulus onset times and task procedure is provided in the [supplemen](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data)[tary](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data) Text and [supplementary Figure 2.](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data) A training of the task was implemented before the frst infusion day to account for potential ceiling effects. The main task results (alerting, orienting, and confict effects) were analyzed by a linear mixed-model design with the fxed factor "time" and the items ketamine, 24-hour ketamine, placebo, and 24-hour placebo. Additionally, the raw reaction times of the task were investigated by a repeated-measures ANOVA (factors "cue" [nocue, doublecue, centercue]; "fanker" [congruent, incongruent, neutral]; "group" [ketamine, placebo]; "time" [4 hours after infusion (acute), 24 hours after infusion (follow-up)]. All posthoc tests were Bonferroni corrected for multiple comparisons.

## RESULTS

#### **ANT Task**

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>We found a signifcant overall effect of time in the linear mixed model of the alerting effect (ketamine 4 hours acute, ketamine 24 hours, placebo 4 hours acute, placebo 24 hours) during the attention network task. Posthoc tests revealed a diminished alertness in the ketamine condition compared with the placebo condition (*P*=.04, F=63.003, mean difference: −10.817 s; [Figure 4A\)](#page-5-0). Neither orienting nor executive control showed any signifcant effects. We additionally investigated the single items of the ANT and found comparable results with previously published studies [\(Fan](#page-8-15)  [et al., 2002](#page-8-15), [2005\)](#page-8-12). We found signifcant effects of time *P<*.001 (RT

4 hours acute=541.15 milliseconds, RT 24 hours after=520.12 milliseconds), cue *P<*.001 (RT centercue=519.65 milliseconds, RT double-cue=516.29 milliseconds, RT no-cue=555.96 milliseconds) with faster reaction in the center cue compared with the double-cue condition (*P*=.044) and slowest reaction in the no-cue condition compared with center cue and double-cue conditions (*P<*.001) and of fanker (RT neutral=472.63 milliseconds, RT congruent=509.17 milliseconds, RT incongruent=610.09 milliseconds, all *P<*.001). Of note, we found no signifcant effect of time\*cue, so no ceiling effects could potentially have infuenced the results of alertness calculation.

## **fMRI**

#### *Immediate Ketamine Effects on LC Functional Connectivity*

We frst assessed the baseline fc of the LC and found patterns comparable with previous studies with a prominent LC fc to the thalamus, cerebellum, and anterior cingulate cortex ([Zhang et](#page-10-4) al., [2015;](#page-10-4) [Jacobs et](#page-8-22) al., 2018; Liebe et [al., 2018](#page-8-9), [2020](#page-8-18), [2022\)](#page-8-23).

<span id="page-3-1"></span>When testing for differences within the 4 conditions in a voxel-to-voxel analysis (mixed-design ANOVA, factors baseline ketamine, during ketamine infusion, baseline placebo, during placebo infusion), we found a connectivity decrease of the LC to thalamus (left thalamus cluster size=279, FDR *P<*.001; right thalamus cluster size=163, FDR *P<*.001), cerebellum (cluster size=279, FDR *P<*.001), and brainstem regions (cluster size=122, FDR *P<*.001; [Figure 1](#page-3-0)). In concordance with our previous study, a posthoc paired *t* test showed that this effect was driven by a connectivity decrease during the ketamine infusion condition compared with the corresponding baseline session (left thalamus cluster size=260, FDR *P<*.001; right thalamus cluster size=84, FDR *P<*.001; cerebellum (cluster size=305, *P<*.001); brainstem regions (cluster size=134, *P<*.001; [Figure 2\)](#page-4-0).

We also investigated the ROI-to-ROI fc of the LC to whole brain comparing baseline ketamine and during ketamine infusion. We accordingly found a LC-thalamic connectivity decrease, specifcally to the ventral lateral nucleus (VLN), ventral posterolateral nucleus (VPL), mediodorsal nuclei (MD) bilateral, and the right subthalamic nucleus (VLNl, FDR *P*=.005; VLNr, FDR *P*=.03; VPLl, FDR *P*=.005; VPLr, FDR *P*=.007; MDNl, FDR *P*=.02; MDNr, FDR *P*=.04; SThNr, FDR *P*=.04).

In a secondary confrmatory analysis, in which we extracted the LC signal at the exact individual position based on neuromelanin-sensitive imaging in 25 of the same participants (as in Liebe et [al., 2020](#page-8-18)), we could also verify the reduction of LC fc to the thalamus [\(supplementary](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data) Text, [supplementary Figures 3](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data) and [4\)](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data).

#### <span id="page-3-2"></span>*Short-Term Effect on Brain Activity Assessed by ALFF*

By investigating the effects of ketamine on ALFF in the mixed design analysis, we found a signifcant change in brain activity in the thalamus (left thalamus cluster size=88, FDR *P<*.001; right thalamus cluster size=34, FDR *P*=.002) and cerebellum (multiple clusters, *P*<.001), interestingly, regions that also show a connectivity decrease to the LC. Posthoc tests revealed a significant decrease of ALFF within the left thalamus (cluster size=55, FDR *P*<.001; [Figure 3A\)](#page-4-1) and the cerebellum (cluster size=68, FDR *P*<.001) in the ketamine infusion condition compared with the placebo infusion and comparable ALFF decrease in the left



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Figure 1. The LC functional connectivity mixed design ANOVA comparing sessions (ketamine (blue boxplots), placebo (red boxplots) and time (baseline, administration) reveals changes to bilateral thalamus (left thalamus cluster size=279, FDR P<.001; right thalamus cluster size=163, FDR *P<*.001); a, b), brainstem regions (cluster size=122, FDR *P<*.001; c) and cerebellum (d, cluster size=279, FDR *P<*.001).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 2. LC functional connectivity paired t test reveals decoupling of the LC to the bilateral thalamus, brainstem regions and cerebellum during the ketamine administration condition compared with the corresponding baseline session (left thalamus cluster size=260, FDR *P<*.001; right thalamus cluster size=84, FDR *P<*.001; cerebellum (cluster size=305, *P<.*001); brainstem regions (cluster size=134, *P<*.001).



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Figure 3. (a) A paired t test reveals a significant decrease of ALFF within the left thalamus (cluster size=55, FDR *P*<.001) in the ketamine infusion condition compared with the placebo infusion. (b) The extracted beta weights from the signifcant decreased LC fc to the left thalamus are correlated to the ALFF decrease of the left thalamic cluster (mixed design ANOVA; Pearson correlation *P*=.014), with lower LC-thalamic connectivity (measured by fc) relating to lower thalamic activity (measured by ALFF).



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 4. (a) In the attention network task we found a significantly diminished alerting effect in the ketamine condition compared with the placebo condition (P=.04, F=63.003, mean difference: −10.817s). Neither orienting nor executive control showed any signifcant effects. (b) Whole brain LC functional connectivity ANCOVA shows correlations of alertness in the placebo administration condition within the bilateral thalamus (left thalamus cluster size=134, FDR *P<*.001; right thalamus cluster size=60, FDR *P*=.009).

thalamus and cerebellum when comparing ketamine infusion and ketamine baseline conditions.

We extracted the beta weights from the signifcantly decreased LC fc to the left thalamus (mixed-design ANOVA) and correlated the weights to the corresponding signifcant left thalamic ALFF decrease weights (mixed-design ANOVA). We found that the ALFF decrease in the left thalamus was correlated to the decrease of LC fc to the left thalamus (Pearson correlation *P*=.014, r=0.417), with lower LC-thalamic connectivity (measured by fc) relating to the lower thalamic activity (measured by ALFF; [Figure 3B](#page-4-1)).

#### *Relation of Attention Network Task Results to LC Network Connectivity*

We contrasted the change of alertness in the ANT in the placebo condition 4 hours after administration with the result 24 hours after placebo (*P*=.002) to gain a marker for alertness change compared with a non-infusion condition (higher alertness in the 4 hours after placebo condition compared with 24 hours after placebo; no difference was present contrasting the conditions 4 hours after ketamine infusion with 24 hours after ketamine). We found a signifcant correlation between the maker with the LC fc in the placebo infusion condition (left thalamus cluster size=134, FDR *P<*.001; right thalamus cluster size=60, FDR *P*=.009; [Figure 4B\)](#page-5-0) and with the change of the LC fc comparing ketamine and placebo infusion conditions on whole-brain level (left thalamus cluster size=41, FDR *P*=.04): both contrasts showed a signifcant negative correlation to LC-thalamic fc on the whole-brain level.

## **DISCUSSION**

As frst outcome, we could replicate and thus corroborate our former result of a ketamine-related post-infusion decrease of fc from the LC to the thalamus in 7 T fMRI during ketamine infusion [\(Liebe et al., 2018\)](#page-8-9) and extend it to S-ketamine application. Furthermore, we extended our results by investigating ALFF and revealed a signifcant reduction of activity in the regions we also found disconnected from the LC due to ketamine—cerebellum and thalamus. This activity decrease in the thalamus was also related to the connectivity decrease with the LC. Furthermore, our results on alertness effects of ketamine during the attention network task show a decreased alertness shortly after ketamine was administrated and no effect of ketamine was found on orienting and confict properties of attention. Finally, we show the relationship of the behavioral results of alertness reduction by ketamine to the LC-thalamic network connectivity decrease.

<span id="page-5-3"></span>The replication of our former fMRI result of an LC-thalamic disconnection during ketamine administration further strengthens our view of an important infuence of ketamine on the central sympathetic pathway. The importance of the LC-thalamic connectivity in humans was shown before in fMRI [\(Zhang et](#page-10-4) al., [2015](#page-10-4); Liebe et [al., 2020](#page-8-18)). The LC-thalamic connection can be interpreted in the context of fndings in animal research ([Devilbiss](#page-7-13) [and Waterhouse, 2011;](#page-7-13) [Devilbiss et](#page-7-14) al., 2012), where it was shown that the LC modulates the ascending somatosensory signaling pathway within the thalamus, and translated to the alertness function of the human brain (Périn et [al., 2010](#page-9-10); [Petersen and](#page-9-9) [Posner, 2012\)](#page-9-9).

<span id="page-5-5"></span><span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>The activity decrease in the thalamus following ketamine, which was related to the LC-thalamic connectivity decrease, is in line with fndings of other fMRI studies ([Höfich et](#page-8-24) al., 2015; [Abram et](#page-7-15) al., 2022). We speculate that the LC primarily infuences the activity decrease of the thalamus and cerebellum by its direct NE fber connections to the thalamus (Liebe et [al., 2022](#page-8-23)), modulating the sensory signal pathway within the thalamus [\(Périn et](#page-9-10) al., [2010](#page-9-10); [Petersen and Posner, 2012](#page-9-9)) followed by reduced alertness [\(Aston-Jones and Cohen, 2005a\)](#page-7-16). The relation of the LC's individual connectivity level to the degree of thalamic/cerebellar disconnection further strengthens the view of the central relevance of the LC in the overall mechanism of ketamine action.

Finally, we could reveal the proposed reduction of the alerting effect by ketamine on a behavioral basis in the ANT. We found the effect to be specifc since no infuence on other items of the attentional networks was present, and we could relate this behavioral effect to the central ketamine action. The ANT measures phasic alertness, which is differentiated from vigilance as it describes the response speed to behaviorally relevant objects and not longterm vigilance ([Petersen and Posner, 2012](#page-9-9)). It is important for rapid change in attention following a brief event and is the basis for spatial orienting and selective attention ([Petersen and Posner,](#page-9-9) [2012;](#page-9-9) [Vazey et](#page-9-23) al., 2018). It is highly relevant in providing behavioral fexibility [\(Grella et](#page-8-25) al., 2019).

<span id="page-6-24"></span><span id="page-6-13"></span><span id="page-6-6"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>The phasic alertness score of the ANT is linked to LC phasic bursts ([Corbetta et](#page-7-9) al., 2008a; [Vazey et](#page-9-23) al., 2018), a specifc mode of LC activity: the LC has different fring modes closely linked to attentional control [\(McCormick et](#page-8-26) al., 1991; [Aston-Jones, 2005](#page-7-17); [Aston-Jones and Cohen, 2005b](#page-7-18)), which infuence the thalamus [\(Devilbiss and Waterhouse, 2011\)](#page-7-13) with respect to alertness ([Petersen and Posner, 2012](#page-9-9)). A reduced general tonic LC activity would lead to reduced phasic bursts and reduced spillover of NE into the thalamus [\(Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003;](#page-7-19) [Corbetta](#page-7-9) [et al., 2008;](#page-7-9) [Devilbiss and Waterhouse, 2011](#page-7-13); [Devilbiss et](#page-7-14) al., [2012\)](#page-7-14), which we interpret to measure as LC-thalamic connectivity and thalamic activity decrease at rest during ketamine infusion in fMRI and as reduced alertness 4 hours after infusion in the ANT. Importantly, we could show the relationship of the ketamine-induced central sympathetic and the behavioral alertness changes. Thus, in a translational context, the response speed to behaviorally relevant objects could potentially be brought to a normal level by ketamine in patient groups with elevated arousal to specifc information, as found in anxiety (Tully et [al., 2022](#page-9-24)).

<span id="page-6-23"></span><span id="page-6-17"></span><span id="page-6-16"></span>The results presented here can be linked to the antidepressant properties of subanesthetic ketamine and the involvement of the LC in depression. The well-known antidepressant effects of ketamine start around 4 hours after infusion ([Murrough et al., 2013](#page-8-3)), the same timepoint for which we found the alertness reduction effect in the ANT, with a relation to the LC-thalamic connectivity decrease during ketamine infusion. Chronic stress hyperactivates the LC [\(Pavcovich et](#page-9-25) al., 1990), followed by an altered NE axis ([Ordway et](#page-9-26) al., 1994; [Klimek et](#page-8-27) al., 1997), which can induce pathological whole-brain changes as found in depression ([Richter-Levin and Xu, 2018\)](#page-9-27). Accordingly, in the manifestation of behavior, hyperalertness, which is tightly linked to a hyperactivated LC, was found in MDD and discussed in a model of pathological hyperstable vigilance regulation in depression [\(Kayumov](#page-8-28) et [al., 2000](#page-8-28); [Hegerl et](#page-8-29) al., 2012; [Olbrich et](#page-9-28) al., 2012; [Dillon et](#page-7-20) al., [2015\)](#page-7-20). Antidepressant medication was overall found to reduce LC activity and vigilance to restore appropriate functionality ([West](#page-9-29) et [al., 2009](#page-9-29); [Chandley and Ordway, 2012;](#page-7-21) [Zacharias et](#page-10-5) al., 2020).

<span id="page-6-25"></span><span id="page-6-22"></span><span id="page-6-20"></span><span id="page-6-18"></span><span id="page-6-12"></span><span id="page-6-11"></span><span id="page-6-9"></span><span id="page-6-8"></span><span id="page-6-4"></span>In line with this view, ketamine-induced reduction of alertness due to reduction of phasic responses (as indicated by the LC thalamic connectivity decrease and ANT results presented here) could restore physiological LC activity and provide a window that breaks through a maladaptive increased hypervigilant focus on negative thoughts (as shown as an outcome of ketamine treatment; [Lehmann et](#page-8-30) al., 2016; [Hasler et](#page-8-31) al., 2020) and in electroconvulsive therapy, which might similarly depend on the modulation of thalamic function [\(Leaver et](#page-8-32) al., 2016; [Singh and](#page-9-30) [Kar, 2017](#page-9-30); [Takamiya et](#page-9-31) al., 2019). The reduction of a hypervigilant focus could also open a gate for the treatment of depression ([Scheepens et](#page-9-32) al., 2022). Our fnding is further underpinned by a combined EEG/fMRI study revealing vigilance reduction by ketamine administration indicated by EEG [\(Zacharias et](#page-10-5) al., 2020).

<span id="page-6-2"></span>Gender-specifc differences in stress response and in the manifestation of hyperarousal were discussed previously in the context of depression, which could be linked to the LC NE system [\(Bangasser et](#page-7-22) al., 2018). Although in the circumscribed LC-thalamic network affected here we did not fnd gender differences based on our previous results [\(Liebe et al., 2018;](#page-8-9) [sup](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data)[plementary Table 3](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data); [supplementary Figure 5\)](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data), supported by a study about baseline LC fc [\(Zhang et](#page-10-4) al., 2015), future studies, especially involving patients, should assess LC fc in both genders. Such studies could investigate how gender-related differences in higher-order networks infuence the LC and drive its involvement in depression.

#### <span id="page-6-27"></span>**Limitations**

<span id="page-6-0"></span>There are some limitations to this study. First, the sample size was modest and consisted of male participants only. Nevertheless, we could replicate the results of our previous study of an LC-thalamic disconnection by ketamine, which involved both sexes [\(Liebe et al.,](#page-8-9)  [2018\)](#page-8-9), in this new sample of healthy participants. Additionally, we re-analyzed data from our previous study ([Liebe et al., 2018](#page-8-9)) with respect to gender and revealed that no gender effects infuence the LC-thalamic fc decrease driven by ketamine. Even when splitting the groups for gender, the signifcant effect of LC-thalamic fc decrease was present in both female and male groups independently, and the extent of LC thalamic decrease did not differ between female and male participants [\(supplementary Table 3;](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data) [supplementary Figure 5](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data)). Furthermore, the baseline LC-thalamic fc showed no gender difference [\(Liebe et al., 2018\)](#page-8-9).

<span id="page-6-19"></span><span id="page-6-14"></span>The LC is a particularly small structure and is diffcult to localize in the brainstem, but we used ultra-high feld MRI to gain a high signal-to-noise ratio of our MRI sequences ([Neuner et](#page-9-33) al., [2022;](#page-9-33) [Sbaihat et](#page-9-34) al., 2022). Furthermore, we could verify our main results by mapping the individual location of the LC and extracting the BOLD signal at the exact individual location of the nucleus (as shown in Liebe et [al., 2020](#page-8-18)).

Finally, our idea of translating our results to the antidepressant effects should be underpinned by an investigation of LC-related connectivity changes by ketamine in a patient group.

## <span id="page-6-10"></span>**CONCLUSIONS**

<span id="page-6-15"></span><span id="page-6-5"></span>We could replicate our former results of racemic ketamine-induced LC-thalamic connectivity decrease also in this study conducting fMRI during S-ketamine infusion. We tested our hypothesis of an alertness reduction as a behavioral correlate of the LC-thalamic fc decrease with the ANT task and showed the proposed constrained infuence of ketamine on the alerting effect. Our results shed new light on the central mechanisms of action of subanesthetic ketamine and will forward an explanation of the rapid antidepressant effects of the drug.

## <span id="page-6-26"></span>[Supplementary Materials](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data)

<span id="page-6-7"></span>[Supplementary data are available at](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data) *International Journal of [Neuropsychopharmacology \(IJNPPY\)](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae022#supplementary-data)* online.

## <span id="page-6-21"></span>Funding

The present work was supported by the Interdisciplinary Center of Clinical Research of the Medical Faculty Jena (L.C.); the German Research Foundation Grant (D.F.G.) No. SFB779/A06 to [M.W.], and Projektnummer 41466077, 526259959 to [T.L.]; and by the BMBF

(01EW2010A - NeuroMarKet) in the frame of ERA-Net NEURON to [M.W.].

## Acknowledgments

We thank Renate Blobel-Lüer for her help during data acquisition.

#### Interest Statement

M.W. is a member of the following advisory boards and has given presentations to the following companies: Bayer AG, Germany; Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany; and Biologische Heilmittel Heel GmbH, Germany. Unrelated to this investigation, M.W. has conducted studies with institutional research support from HEEL and Janssen Pharmaceutical Research for a clinical trial (IIT) on ketamine in patients with MDD. From the companies mentioned above, M.W. did not receive any fnancial compensation. All other authors report no biomedical fnancial interests or other potential conficts of interest that are relevant to the content of this article.

## Data Availability

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

### Author Contributions

Thomas Liebe (Conceptualization [Lead], Data curation [Lead], Formal analysis [Lead], Investigation [Equal], Methodology [Lead], Visualization [Lead], Writing—original draft [Lead], Writing—review and editing [Lead]), Lena Vera Danyeli (Data curation [Equal], Funding acquisition [Equal], Investigation [Equal], Project administration [Equal], Validation [Equal], Writing—original draft [Equal], Writing—review and editing [Equal]), Zümrüt Duygu Sen (Data curation [Equal], Investigation [Equal], Methodology [Equal], Project administration [Equal], Validation [Equal], Writing—original draft [Equal], Writing—review and editing [Equal]), Meng Li (Data curation [Equal], Funding acquisition [Equal], Investigation [Equal], Methodology [Equal], Project administration [Equal], Software [Equal], Validation [Equal], Writing—original draft [Equal], Writing—review and editing [Equal]), Jörn Kaufmann (Data curation [Equal], Methodology [Equal], Software [Equal], Validation [Equal], Visualization [Equal], Writing—original draft [Equal], Writing—review and editing [Equal]), and Martin Walter (Conceptualization [Equal], Formal analysis [Equal], Funding acquisition [Lead], Investigation [Equal], Methodology [Equal], Project administration [Lead], Supervision [Lead], Validation [Lead], Writing—review and editing [Equal]).

## References

- <span id="page-7-15"></span>[Abram SV, Roach BJ, Fryer SL, Calhoun VD, Preda A, van Erp TG,](#page-5-1)  [Bustillo JR, Lim KO, Loewy RL, Stuart BK, Krystal JH, Ford JM,](#page-5-1)  [Mathalon DH \(2022\) Validation of ketamine as a pharmacologi](#page-5-1)[cal model of thalamic dysconnectivity across the illness course](#page-5-1)  [of schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry 27:2448–2456.](#page-5-1)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[American Psychiatric Association \(2005\) Diagnostic and statistical](#page-1-0)  [manual of mental disorders \(rev.\). Arlington; APA.](#page-1-0)
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>[Aston-Jones G \(2005\) Brain structures and receptors involved in](#page-6-0)  [alertness. Sleep Med 6:S3–S7.](#page-6-0)
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>[Aston-Jones G, Cohen J \(2005a\) Adaptive gain and the role of the](#page-5-2) [locus coeruleus-norepinephrine system in optimal perfor](#page-5-2)[mance. J Comp Neurol 493:99–110.](#page-5-2)
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>[Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD \(2005b\) An integrative theory of locus](#page-6-1) [coeruleus-norepinephrine function: adaptive gain and optimal](#page-6-1) [performance. Annu Rev Neurosci 28:403–450.](#page-6-1)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[Bahji A, Vazquez G, Zarate CJ \(2020\) Comparative effcacy of racemic](#page-0-3) [ketamine and esketamine for depression: a systematic review](#page-0-3) [and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 278:542–555.](#page-0-3)
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>[Bangasser DA, Eck SR, Telenson AM, Salvatore M \(2018\) Sex differ](#page-6-2)[ences in stress regulation of arousal and cognition. Physiology](#page-6-2) [and Behavior 187:42–50.](#page-6-2)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[Baraka A, Harrison T, Kachachi T \(1973\) Catecholamine levels after](#page-1-1) [ketamine anesthesia in man. Anesth Analg 52:198–200.](#page-1-1)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[Behzadi Y, Restom K, Liau J, Liu T \(2007\) A component based noise](#page-2-0) [correction method \(CompCor\) for BOLD and perfusion based](#page-2-0) [fMRI. Neuroimage 37:90–101.](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[Berman R, Cappiello A, Anand A, Oren D, Heninger G, Charney D,](#page-0-4) [Krystal J \(2000\) Antidepressant effects of ketamine in depressed](#page-0-4) [patients. Biol Psychiatry 47:351–354.](#page-0-4)
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>Berridge CW, Waterhouse BD [\(2003](#page-6-3)) The locus coeruleus–noradrenergic system: modulation of behavioral state and state-dependent cognitive processes. Brain Res Rev 42:33–84. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0165-0173(03)00143-7) [s0165-0173\(03\)00143-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0165-0173(03)00143-7)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[Biswal B, Zerrin Yetkin F, Haughton VM, Hyde JS \(1995\) Functional](#page-1-2) [connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using](#page-1-2) [echo-planar MRI. Magn Reson Med 34:537–541.](#page-1-2)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[Boyer PD \(1998\) Energy Life, and ATP \(Nobel Lecture\). Angew Chem](#page-1-3) [Int Ed Engl 37:2296–2307.](#page-1-3)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[Caddy C, Giaroli G, White T, Shergill S, Tracy D \(2014\) Ketamine as the](#page-0-5) [prototype glutamatergic antidepressant: pharmacodynamic](#page-0-5) [actions, and a systematic review and meta-analysis of effcacy.](#page-0-5) [Ther Adv Psychopharmacol 4:75–99.](#page-0-5)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>[Chandley MJ, Ordway GA \(2012\) Noradrenergic dysfunction in](#page-6-4) [depression and suicide. In The Neurobiological Basis of Suicide](#page-6-4)  [\(Dwivedi Y ed.\), pp 29–65. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.](#page-6-4)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[Chandley MJ, Szebeni A, Szebeni K, Crawford JD, Stockmeier CA,](#page-1-4) [Turecki G, Kostrzewa RM, Ordway GA \(2014\) Elevated gene](#page-1-4) [expression of gluta-mate receptors in noradrenergic neu](#page-1-4)[rons from the locus coeruleus in major depression. Int J](#page-1-4) [Neuropsychopharmacol 17:1569–1578.](#page-1-4)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[Corbetta M, Patel G, Shulman G \(2008\) The reorienting system of](#page-1-5) [the human brain: from environment to theory of mind. Neuron](#page-1-5)  [58:306–324.](#page-1-5)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[Corbetta M, Shulman G \(2002\) Control of goal-directed and](#page-1-6) [stimulus-driven attention in the brain. Nat Rev Neurosci 3:201–215.](#page-1-6)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[Curtis A, Leiser S, Snyder K, Valentino R \(2012\) Predator stress](#page-1-7) [engages corticotropin-releasing factor and opioid systems to](#page-1-7) [alter the operating mode of locus coeruleus norepinephrine](#page-1-7) [neurons. Neuropharmacology 62:1737–1745.](#page-1-7)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[Devilbiss D, Waterhouse B \(2011\) Phasic and tonic patterns of locus](#page-5-3) [coeruleus output differentially modulate sensory network](#page-5-3) [function in the awake rat. J Neurophysiol 105:69–87.](#page-5-3)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[Devilbiss D, Waterhouse B, Berridge C, Valentino R \(2012\)](#page-5-4) [Corticotropin-releasing factor acting at the locus coeruleus](#page-5-4) [disrupts thalamic and cortical sensory-evoked responses.](#page-5-4) [Neuropsychopharmacology 37:2020–2030.](#page-5-4)
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Dillon DG, *et al* [\(2015\) A computational analysis of fanker interfer](#page-6-5)[ence in depression. Psychol Med 45:2333–2344.](#page-6-5)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[Doenicke A, Angster R, Mayer M, Adams H, Grillenberger G, Nebauer](#page-1-8)  [A \(1992\) The action of S-\(+\)-ketamine on serum catechola](#page-1-8)[mine and cortisol. A comparison with ketamine racemate.](#page-1-8) [Anaesthesist 41:597–603.](#page-1-8)
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>[Eriksen BA, Eriksen CW \(1974\) Effects of noise letters upon the iden](#page-2-1)[tifcation of a target letter in a nonsearch task. Perception and](#page-2-1) [Psychophysics 16:143–149.](#page-2-1)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>Fan J, McCandliss BD, Sommer T, Raz A, Posner MI ([2002](#page-2-2)) Testing the effciency and independence of attentional networks. J Cogn Neurosci 14:340–347. doi: [10.1162/089892902317361886](https://doi.org/10.1162/089892902317361886)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>Fan J, McCandliss B, Fossella J, Flombaum J, Posner M ([2005\)](#page-2-3) The activation of attentional networks. Neuroimage 26:471–479. doi: [10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.02.004)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>[Fan J, Gu X, Guise K, Liu X, Fossella J, Wang H, Posner M \(2009\) Testing](#page-1-9) [the behavioral interaction and integration of attentional net](#page-1-9)[works. Brain Cogn 70:209–220.](#page-1-9)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>FDA [\(2019](#page-0-6)) Risk assessment and risk mitigation review(s) US Food and Drug Administration. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/appletter/2019/211243Orig1s000ltr.pdf) [appletter/2019/211243Orig1s000ltr.pdf.](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/appletter/2019/211243Orig1s000ltr.pdf) Accessed 23 May 2024.
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>[First MB, Gibbon M \(2004\) The structured clinical interview for dsm-iv](#page-1-10) [axis i disorders \(SCID-I\) and the structured clinical interview for](#page-1-10) DSM-iv axis ii disorders (SCID-II). In: [Comprehensive handbook](#page-1-10) [of psychological assessment. Personality assessment,](#page-1-10) Vol. 2, pp [134–143. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.](#page-1-10)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[Fond G, Boyer L \(2014\) Ketamine's effectiveness in unipolar versus](#page-0-7) [bipolar depression. Psychopharmacology \(Berl\) 231:4417–4418.](#page-0-7)
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>[Grella SL, Neil JM, Edison HT, Strong VD, Odintsova IV, Walling SG,](#page-6-6) [Martin GM, Marrone DF, Harley CW \(2019\) Locus coeruleus](#page-6-6) [phasic, but not tonic, activation initiates global remapping in a](#page-6-6) [familiar environment. Neurosci. J 39:445–455.](#page-6-6)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[Hara K, Yanagihara N, Minami K, Ueno S, Toyohira Y, Sata T,](#page-1-11) [Kawamura M, Brüss M, Bönisch H, Shigematsu A, Izumi F \(1998\)](#page-1-11) [Ketamine interacts with the noradrenaline transporter at a](#page-1-11) [site partly overlapping the desipramine binding site. Naunyn](#page-1-11) [Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 358:328–333.](#page-1-11)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[Hara K, Minami K, Ueno S, Toyohira Y, Tsutsui M, Shigematsu A,](#page-1-12) [Yanagihara N \(2002\) Up-regulation of noradrenaline trans](#page-1-12)[porter in response to prolonged exposure to ketamine. Naunyn](#page-1-12) [Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 365:406–412.](#page-1-12)
- <span id="page-8-31"></span>[Hasler G, Suker S, Schoretsanitis G, Mihov Y \(2020\) Sustained](#page-6-7) [improvement of negative self-schema after a single ketamine](#page-6-7) [infusion: an open-label study. Front Neurosci 14:687.](#page-6-7)
- <span id="page-8-29"></span>[Hegerl U, Wilk K, Olbrich S, Schoenknecht P, Sander C \(2012\)](#page-6-8) [Hyperstable regulation of vigilance in patients with major](#page-6-8) [depressive disorder. World J Biol Psychiatry 13:436–446.](#page-6-8)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[Hillhouse TM, Porter JH \(2015\) A brief history of the development of](#page-1-13) [antidepressant drugs: from monoamines to glutamate. Exp Clin](#page-1-13) [Psychopharmacol 23:1–21.](#page-1-13)
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>[Höfich A, Hahn A, Küblböck M, Kranz GS, Vanicek T, Windischberger](#page-5-5)  [C, Saria A, Kasper S, Winkler D, Lanzenberger R \(2015\)](#page-5-5) [Ketamine induced modulation of the thalamo-cortical net](#page-5-5)[work in healthy volunteers as a model for schizophrenia. Int J](#page-5-5) [Neuropsychopharmacol 18:pyv040.](#page-5-5)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>[Isingrini E, Perret L, Rainer Q, Amilhon B, Guma E, Tanti A, Martin G,](#page-1-14) [Robinson J, Moquin L, Marti F, Mechawar N, Williams S, Gratton](#page-1-14)  [A, Giros B \(2016\) Resilience to chronic stress is mediated by](#page-1-14) [noradrenergic regulation of dopamine neurons. Nat Neurosci](#page-1-14)  [19:560–563.](#page-1-14)
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>[Jacobs H, Müller-Ehrenberg L, Priovoulos N, Roebroeck A \(2018\)](#page-3-1) [Curvilinear locus coeruleus functional connectivity trajecto](#page-3-1)[ries over the adult lifespan: a 7T MRI study. Neurobiol Aging](#page-3-1)  [69:167–176.](#page-3-1)
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>[Kayumov L, Rotenberg V, Buttoo K, Auch C, Pandi-Perumal S, Shapiro](#page-6-9)  [CM \(2000\) Interrelationships between nocturnal sleep, daytime](#page-6-9) [alertness, and sleepiness: two types of alertness proposed. J](#page-6-9) [Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 12:86–90.](#page-6-9)
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>Keren NI, Taheri S, Vazey EM, Morgan PS, Granholm ACE, Aston-Jones GS, Eckert MA ([2015](#page-2-4)) Histologic validation of locus coeruleus MRI contrast in post-mortem tissue. Neuroimage 113:235–245. doi: [10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.03.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.03.020)
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>[Klimek V, Stockmeier C, Overholser J, Meltzer HY, Kalka S, Dilley](#page-6-10)  [G, Ordway GA \(1997\) Reduced levels of norepinephrine trans](#page-6-10)[porters in the locus coeruleus in major depression. Neurosci J.](#page-6-10)  [17:8451–8458.](#page-6-10)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[Krystal J, Karper L, Seibyl J, Freeman G, Delaney R, Bremner J,](#page-1-15)  [Heninger G, Bowers MJ, Charney D \(1994\) Subanesthetic effects](#page-1-15)  [of the noncompetitive NMDA antagonist, ketamine, in humans.](#page-1-15)  [Psychotomimetic, perceptual, cognitive, and neuroendocrine](#page-1-15)  [responses. Arch Gen Psychiatry 51:199–214.](#page-1-15)
- <span id="page-8-32"></span>[Leaver AM, Espinoza R, Pirnia T, Joshi SH, Woods RP, Narr KL \(2016\)](#page-6-11)  [Modulation of intrinsic brain activity by electroconvulsive ther](#page-6-11)[apy in major depression. Biol Psychiatry 1:77–86.](#page-6-11)
- <span id="page-8-30"></span>[Lehmann M, Seifritz E, Henning A, Walter M, Böoker H, Scheidegger](#page-6-12)  [M, Grimm S \(2016\) Differential effects of rumination and dis](#page-6-12)[traction on ketamine induced modulation of resting state](#page-6-12)  [functional connectivity and reactivity of regions within the](#page-6-12)  [default-mode network. Soc Cogn. Affect Neurosci 11:1227–1235.](#page-6-12)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[Liebe T, Li S, Lord A, Colic L, Krause A, Batra A, Kretzschmar](#page-1-16)  [M, Sweeney-Reed C, Behnisch G, Schott B, Walter M \(2017\)](#page-1-16)  [Factors infuencing the cardiovascular response to subanes](#page-1-16)[thetic ketamine: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Int J](#page-1-16)  [Neuropsychopharmacol 20:909–918.](#page-1-16)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[Liebe T, Li M, Colic L, Munk M, Sweeney-Reed C, Woelfer M,](#page-1-17)  [Kretzschmar M, Steiner J, von DF, Behnisch G, Schott B, Walter M](#page-1-17)  [\(2018\) Ketamine infuences the locus coeruleus norepinephrine](#page-1-17)  [network, with a dependency on norepinephrine transporter](#page-1-17)  [genotype - a placebo controlled fMRI study. Neuroimage Clin](#page-1-17)  [20:715–723.](#page-1-17)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>[Liebe T, Kaufmann J, Li M, Skalej M, Wagner G, Walter M \(2020\) In](#page-1-18)  [vivo anatomical mapping of human locus coeruleus functional](#page-1-18)  [connectivity at 3 T MRI. Hum Brain Mapp 41:2136–2151.](#page-1-18)
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>[Liebe T, Kaufmann J, Hämmerer D, Betts M, Walter M \(2022\) In vivo](#page-3-2)  [tractography of human locus coeruleus—relation to 7t resting](#page-3-2)  [state fMRI, psychological measures and single subject validity.](#page-3-2)  [Mol Psychiatry 27:4984–4993.](#page-3-2)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[Liu B, Liu J, Wang M, Zhang Y, Li L \(2017\) From serotonin to](#page-1-19)  [neuro-plasticity: evolvement of theories for major depressive](#page-1-19)  [disorder. Front cell Neurosci 11:305.](#page-1-19)
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>[McCormick D, Pape H, Williamson A \(1991\) Actions of norepinephrine](#page-6-13)  [in the cerebral cortex and thalamus: implications for function](#page-6-13)  [of the central noradrenergic system. Prog Brain Res 88:293–305.](#page-6-13)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[McGirr A, Berlim M, Bond D, Fleck M, Yatham L, Lam R \(2015\) A sys](#page-0-8)[tematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, double-blind,](#page-0-8)  [placebo-controlled trials of ketamine in the rapid treatment of](#page-0-8)  [major depressive episodes. Psychol Med 45:693–704.](#page-0-8)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[Miletich D, Ivankovic A, Albrecht R, Zahed B, Ilahi A \(1973\) The effect](#page-1-20)  [of ketamine on catecholamine metabolism in the isolated per](#page-1-20)[fused rat heart. Anesthesiology 39:271–277.](#page-1-20)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>[Murphy PR, O'Connell RG, O'Sullivan M, Robertson IH, Balsters JH](#page-1-21)  [\(2014\) Pupil diameter covaries with BOLD activity in human](#page-1-21)  [locus coeruleus. Hum Brain Mapp 35:4140–4154.](#page-1-21)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[Murrough J, Perez A, Pillemer S, Stern J, Parides M, aan RM, Collins](#page-1-22)  [K, Mathew S, Charney D, Iosifescu D \(2013\) Rapid and longer](#page-1-22)[term antidepressant effects of repeated ketamine infusions in](#page-1-22)  [treatment-resistant major depression. Biol Psychiatry 74:250–256.](#page-1-22)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>[Musso F, Brinkmeyer J, Ecker D, London M, Thieme G, Warbrick T,](#page-1-23)  [Wittsack H, Saleh A, Greb W, de BP, Winterer G \(2011\) Ketamine](#page-1-23)  [effects on brain function–simultaneous fMRI/EEG during a](#page-1-23)  [visual oddball task. Neuroimage 58:508–525.](#page-1-23)
- <span id="page-9-33"></span>Neuner I, Veselinović [T, Ramkiran S, Rajkumar R, Schnellbaecher](#page-6-14)  [GJ, Shah NJ \(2022\) 7t ultra-high-feld neuroimaging for men](#page-6-14)[tal health: an emerging tool for precision psychiatry? Transl](#page-6-14)  [Psychiatry 12:1–10.](#page-6-14)
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>[Nikiforuk A, Popik P \(2014\) The effects of acute and repeated](#page-1-24)  [administration of ketamine on attentional performance](#page-1-24)  [in the fve-choice serial reaction time task in rats. Eur](#page-1-24)  [Neuropsychopharmacol 24:1381–1393.](#page-1-24)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[Nishimura M, Sato K, Okada T, Yoshiya I, Schloss P, Shimada S,](#page-1-25)  [Tohyama M \(1998\) Ketamine inhibits monoamine transporters](#page-1-25)  [expressed in human embryonic kidney 293 cells. Anesthesiology](#page-1-25)  [88:768–774.](#page-1-25)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[Nugent A, Ballard E, Gilbert J, Tewarie P, Brookes M, Zarate CJ \(2020\)](#page-0-9)  [The effect of ketamine on electrophysiological connectivity in](#page-0-9)  [major depressive disorder. Front Psychiatry 11:519.](#page-0-9)
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>[Olbrich S, Sander C, Minkwitz J, Chittka T, Mergl R, Hegerl U, Him](#page-6-15)[merich H \(2012\) Eeg vigilance regulation patterns and their dis](#page-6-15)[criminative power to separate patients with major depression](#page-6-15)  [from healthy controls. Neuropsychobiology 65:188–194.](#page-6-15)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[Oranje B, van Berckel B, Kemner C, van Ree J, Kahn R, Verbaten](#page-1-26)  [M \(2000\) The effects of a sub-anaesthetic dose of ketamine](#page-1-26)  [on human selective attention. Neuropsychopharmacology](#page-1-26)  [22:293–302.](#page-1-26)
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>[Ordway GA, Widdowson PS, Smith KS, Halaris A \(1994\) Agonist bind](#page-6-16)ing to α[2-adrenoceptors is elevated in the locus coeruleus from](#page-6-16)  [victims of suicide. J Neurochem 63:617–624.](#page-6-16)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[Park L, Luckenbaugh D, Pennybaker S, Hopkins M, Henter I, Lener](#page-0-10)  [M, Kadriu B, Ballard E, Zarate CJ \(2020\) The effects of ketamine](#page-0-10)  [on typical and atypical depressive symptoms. Acta Psychiatr](#page-0-10)  [Scand 142:394–401.](#page-0-10)
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>[Pavcovich LA, Cancela LM, Volosin M, Molina VA, Ramirez OA \(1990\)](#page-6-17)  [Chronic stress-induced changes in locus coeruleus neuronal](#page-6-17)  [activity. Brain Res Bull 24:293–296.](#page-6-17)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[Périn B, Godefroy O, Fall S, de MG \(2010\) Alertness in young healthy](#page-1-27)  [subjects: an fMRI study of brain region interactivity enhanced](#page-1-27)  [by a warning signal. Brain Cogn 72:271–281.](#page-1-27)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[Petersen S, Posner M \(2012\) The attention system of the human](#page-1-28)  [brain: 20 years after. Annu Rev Neurosci 35:73–89.](#page-1-28)
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>[Richter-Levin G, Xu L \(2018\) How could stress lead to major depres](#page-6-18)[sive disorder? IBRO Rep 4:38–43.](#page-6-18)
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>[Rueda M, Fan J, McCandliss B, Halparin J, Gruber D, Lercari L, Posner](#page-2-5)  [M \(2004\) Development of attentional networks in childhood.](#page-2-5)  [Neuropsychologia 42:1029–1040.](#page-2-5)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[Samuels E, Szabadi E \(2008a\) Functional neuroanatomy of the](#page-1-29)  [noradrenergic locus coeruleus: its roles in the regulation of](#page-1-29)  [arousal and autonomic function part I: principles of functional](#page-1-29)  [organisation. Curr Neuropharmacol 6:235–253.](#page-1-29)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[Samuels E, Szabadi E \(2008b\) Functional neuroanatomy of the](#page-1-30)  [noradrenergic locus coeruleus: its roles in the regulation of](#page-1-30)  [arousal and auto-nomic function part II: physiological and](#page-1-30)  [pharmacological manipulations and pathological alterations](#page-1-30)  [of locus coeruleus activity in humans. Curr Neuropharmacol](#page-1-30)  [6:254–285.](#page-1-30)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[Sasaki M, Shibata E, Tohyama K, Takahashi J, Otsuka K, Tsuchiya K,](#page-2-6)  [Taka-hashi S, Ehara S, Terayama Y, Sakai A \(2006\) Neuromelanin](#page-2-6)  [magnetic resonance imaging of locus ceruleus and substantia](#page-2-6)  [nigra in Parkinson's disease. Neuroreport 17:1215–1218.](#page-2-6)
- <span id="page-9-34"></span>[Sbaihat H, Rajkumar R, Ramkiran S, Assi AAN, Felder J, Shah NJ,](#page-6-19)  Veselinović [T, Neuner I \(2022\) Test–retest stability of sponta](#page-6-19)[neous brain activity and functional connectivity in the core](#page-6-19)  [resting-state net-works assessed with ultrahigh feld 7-tesla](#page-6-19)  [resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging. Hum](#page-6-19)  [Brain Mapp 43:2026–2040.](#page-6-19)
- <span id="page-9-32"></span>[Scheepens DS, van Waarde JA, Ten Doesschate F, Westra M, Kroes](#page-6-20)  [MC, Sch-ene AH, Schoevers RA, Denys D, Ruhé HG, van Wingen](#page-6-20)  [GA \(2022\) Negative cognitive schema modifcation as mediator](#page-6-20) [of symptom improvement after electroconvulsive therapy in](#page-6-20) [major depressive disorder. J Affect Disord 310:156–161.](#page-6-20)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[Schwartz J, Murrough J, Iosifescu D \(2016\) Ketamine for](#page-0-11) [treatment-resistant depression: recent developments and clini](#page-0-11)[cal applications. Evid Based Ment Health 19:35–38.](#page-0-11)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Short B, Fong J, Galvez V, Shelker W, Loo CK ([2018](#page-0-12)) Side-effects associated with ketamine use in depression: a systematic review. Lancet Psychiatry 5:65–78. doi: [10.1016/s2215-0366\(17\)30272-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(17)30272-9)
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>[Singh A, Kar SK \(2017\) How electroconvulsive therapy works?:](#page-6-21) [understanding the neurobiological mechanisms. Clin](#page-6-21) [Psychopharmacol Neurosci 15:210–221.](#page-6-21)

<span id="page-9-19"></span><span id="page-9-16"></span>[Sinner B, Graf B \(2008\) Ketamine. Modern Anesthetics 182:313–333.](#page-1-31) [Statistics IS \(2011\) Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.](#page-2-7)

- <span id="page-9-15"></span>[Szot P, Franklin A, Miguelez C, Wang Y, Vidaurrazaga I, Ugedo L,](#page-1-32) [Sikkema C, Wilkinson C, Raskind M \(2016\) Depressive-like](#page-1-32) [behavior observed with a minimal loss of locus coeruleus \(LC\)](#page-1-32) [neurons following administration of 6-hydroxydopamine is](#page-1-32) [associated with electrophysiological changes and reversed with](#page-1-32) [precursors of norepinephrine. Neuropharmacology 101:76–86.](#page-1-32)
- <span id="page-9-31"></span>[Takamiya A, Kishimoto T, Liang K, Terasawa Y, Nishikata S, Tarumi R,](#page-6-22) [Sawada K, Kurokawa S, Hirano J, Yamagata B, Mimura M \(2019\)](#page-6-22) [Thalamic volume, resting-state activity, and their association](#page-6-22) [with the effcacy of electroconvulsive therapy. J Psychiatr Res](#page-6-22)  [117:135–141.](#page-6-22)
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>[Togo F, Lange G, Natelson B, Quigley K \(2015\) Attention network test:](#page-2-8) [assessment of cognitive function in chronic fatigue syndrome.](#page-2-8) [J Neuropsychol 9:1–9.](#page-2-8)
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>[Tully JL, Dahlén AD, Haggarty CJ, Schiöoth HB, Brooks S \(2022\)](#page-6-23) [Ketamine treatment for refractory anxiety: a systematic review.](#page-6-23) [Br J Clin Pharmacol 88:4412–4426.](#page-6-23)
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>[Tzourio-Mazoyer N, Landeau B, Papathanassiou D, Crivello F, Etard](#page-2-9)  [O, Del-croix N, Mazoyer B, Joliot M \(2002\) Automated anatomical](#page-2-9) [labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical](#page-2-9) [parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. Neuroimage](#page-2-9)  [15:273–289.](#page-2-9)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[Umbricht D, Schmid L, Koller R, Vollenweider F, Hell D, Javitt D](#page-1-33)  [\(2000\) Ketamine-induced defcits in auditory and visual](#page-1-33) [context-dependent processing in healthy volunteers: implica](#page-1-33)[tions for models of cognitive defcits in schizophrenia. Arch Gen](#page-1-33) [Psychiatry 57:1139–1147.](#page-1-33)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[Van Den Heuvel MP, Pol HEH \(2010\) Exploring the brain network:](#page-1-34) [a review on resting-state fMRI functional connectivity. Eur](#page-1-34) [Neuropsychopharmacol 20:519–534.](#page-1-34)
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>[Vazey EM, Moorman DE, Aston-Jones G \(2018\) Phasic locus coeruleus](#page-6-24) [activity regulates cortical encoding of salience information.](#page-6-24) [Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115:E9439–E9448.](#page-6-24)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[Wan L, Levitch C, Perez A, Brallier J, Iosifescu D, Chang L, Foulkes A,](#page-0-13) [Mathew S, Charney D, Murrough J \(2015\) Ketamine safety and](#page-0-13) [tolerability in clinical trials for treatment-resistant depression.](#page-0-13) [J Clin Psychiatry 76:247–252.](#page-0-13)
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>[West CH, Ritchie JC, Boss-Williams KA, Weiss JM \(2009\) Antidepressant](#page-6-25) [drugs with differing pharmacological actions decrease activ](#page-6-25)[ity of locus coeruleus neurons. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol](#page-6-25)  [12:627–641.](#page-6-25)
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>Whitfeld-Gabrieli S, Nieto-Castanon A ([2012](#page-2-10)) Conn: a functional con-nectivity toolbox for correlated and anticorrelated brain networks. Brain Connect 2:125–141. doi: [10.1089/brain.2012.0073](https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2012.0073)
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[Xuan B, Mackie M, Spagna A, Wu T, Tian Y, Hof P, Fan J \(2016\) The](#page-1-35) [activation of interactive attentional networks. Neuroimage](#page-1-35)  [129:308–319.](#page-1-35)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[Zacharias N, Musso F, Müller F, Lammers F, Saleh A, London M, de](#page-6-26) [Boer P, Winterer G \(2020\) Ketamine effects on default mode net](#page-6-26)[work activity and vigilance: a randomized, placebo-controlled](#page-6-26) [crossover simultaneous fMRI/EEG study. Hum Brain Mapp](#page-6-26)  [41:107–119.](#page-6-26)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Zanos P, *et al* [\(2016\) NMDAR inhibition-independent antidepressant](#page-1-36) [actions of ketamine metabolites. Nature 533:481–486.](#page-1-36)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[Zarate CJ \(2020\) Ketamine: a new chapter in antidepressant develop](#page-0-14)[ment. Braz J Psychiatry 42:581–582.](#page-0-14)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[Zarate CA, Singh JB, Carlson PJ, Brutsche NE, Ameli R, Luckenbaugh](#page-0-15)  [DA, Charney DS, Manji HK \(2006\) A randomized trial of an](#page-0-15)

[n-methyl-d-aspartate antagonist in treatment-resistant major](#page-0-15)  [depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 63:856–864.](#page-0-15)

- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Zhang S, Hu S, Chao HH, Li CSR ([2015](#page-6-27)) Resting-state functional connectivity of the locus coeruleus in humans: in comparison with the ventral tegmental area/substantia nigra pars compacta and the effects of age. Cereb Cortex 26:3413–3427. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv172) [cercor/bhv172](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv172)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[Zhao Y, Sun L \(2008\) Antidepressants modulate the in vitro](#page-1-37)  [inhibitory effects of propofol and ketamine on norepineph](#page-1-37)[rine and serotonin transporter function. J Clin Neurosci](#page-1-37)  [15:1264–1269.](#page-1-37)